

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION**

MOSES SIMPKINS

PLAINTIFF,

v.

WAL-MART STORES TEXAS, LLC

DEFENDANT.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. _____

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, WAL-MART STORES TEXAS, LLC (“Defendant or Wal-Mart”) and files this Notice of Removal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1332.

I.

INTRODUCTION

1. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441, et seq., this civil action is removed from the 95th Judicial District Court, Dallas County, Texas, where this matter was pending under Cause No. DC-22-00481, in a matter styled *Moses Simpkins v. Wal-Mart Stores Texas, LLC* (the “State Court Action”).

II.

NATURE OF THE SUIT

2. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit under theories of premises liability, negligence and grossly negligent conduct based on an alleged personal injury on Defendant’s premises. *See* Plaintiff’s Original Petition, at ¶¶ 9-20. Plaintiff alleges that on or about November 27, 2020, Plaintiff was attempting to purchase a television and asked a Walmart Associate to provide him

with a cart and assist him with checkout. The Walmart Associate allegedly refused and Plaintiff attempted to carry the television and fell to the floor causing injuries and damages. *Id.* at ¶ 8. Defendant timely filed its Original Answer, denying Plaintiff's allegations and asserting its affirmative defenses. *See* Defendant's Original Answer.

III.

TIMELINESS OF REMOVAL

3. Plaintiff commenced this lawsuit by filing her Original Petition on January 13, 2022. Defendant Wal-Mart accepted service on February 8, 2022 through its agent, CT Corporation System. This removal is timely because it is filed within 30 days after Wal-Mart was served. 28 U.S.C. § 1446.¹

IV.

BASIS FOR REMOVAL JURISDICTION

4. Removal is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1332 because there is complete diversity of citizenship between Plaintiff and Defendant, and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.

5. Plaintiff is domiciled in the state of Texas and therefore is a citizen of Texas.

6. Plaintiff sued Defendant Wal-Mart Stores Texas, LLC, which is now and was at the time of filing of this action a Delaware Limited Liability Company with its principal place of business in Arkansas. The citizenship of an LLC is the same as the citizenship of all of its members. *Harvey v. Grey Wolf Drilling, Co.*, 542 F.3d 1077, 1080 (5th Cir. 2008). Wal-Mart Real Estate Business Trust is the sole member of Wal-Mart Stores Texas, LLC.

7. Wal-Mart Real Estate Business Trust is a statutory business trust organized under

¹ Per Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(a)(1)(C), if the last day of a period falls on a legal holiday, the period continues to run until the end of the next day that is not a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday.

the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business in Arkansas. The citizenship of a statutory trust is the citizenship of its members, which includes its shareholders. *Americold Realty Tr. v. Conagra Foods, Inc.*, 136 S. Ct. 1012, 1016 (2016); *Bynane v. Bank of New York Mellon for CWMBS, Inc. Asset-Backed Certificates Series 2006-24*, 866 F.3d 351, 358 (5th Cir. 2017); *U.S. Bank Tr., N.A. v. Dupre*, 615CV0558LEKTWD, 2016 WL 5107123, at *4 (N.D.N.Y. Sept. 20, 2016) (finding that a Delaware statutory trust “seems precisely like the type [of trust] considered by the Supreme Court in *Americold*”). The sole unit/shareholder of Wal-Mart Real Estate Business Trust is Wal-Mart Property Co.

8. A corporation is ““a citizen of every State and foreign state by which it has been incorporated and of the State or foreign state where it has its principal place of business.”” *MidCap Media Fin., L.L.C. v. Pathway Data, Inc.*, 929 F.3d 310, 314 (5th Cir. 2019) (quoting 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1)). Wal-Mart Property Co. is an incorporated entity under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business in Arkansas. Therefore, Wal-Mart Property Co. is a citizen of Delaware and Arkansas. Wal-Mart Property Co. is also a wholly owned subsidiary of Wal-Mart Stores East, LP.

9. Wal-Mart Stores East, LP is a Delaware limited partnership. The citizenship of a limited partnership, for diversity jurisdiction purposes, is based upon the citizenship of each of its partners. *Harvey*, 542 F.3d at 1079. WSE Management, LLC is the general partner of Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, and WSE Investment, LLC is the limited partner. The citizenship of these LLCs is determined by the citizenship of each of its members. *See id.* at 1080. The sole member of WSE Management, LLC and WSE Investment, LLC is Wal-Mart Stores East, LLC (f/k/a Wal-Mart Stores East, Inc.).

10. Wal-Mart Stores East, LLC is a limited liability company formed under the laws

of the State of Arkansas and has its principal place of business in Arkansas. The citizenship of an LLC is the same as the citizenship of all of its members. *Id.* The sole member of Wal-Mart Stores East, LLC is Walmart Inc. (f/k/a Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.).

11. Wal-Mart Inc. is an incorporated entity under the laws of the State of Delaware and has its principal place of business in Arkansas. Therefore, Wal-Mart Inc. is a citizen of Delaware and Arkansas. *See MidCap Media Fin., L.L.C.*, 929 F.3d at 314.

12. Accordingly, for diversity purposes, Defendant Wal-Mart Stores Texas, LLC is a citizen of Delaware and Arkansas.

13. Thus, this lawsuit is between citizens of different states, and there is complete diversity of citizenship between Plaintiff and Wal-Mart Stores Texas, LLC pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332.

14. Plaintiff seeks past and future physical pain and suffering, past and future mental anguish, past and future physical impairment, past and future loss of wages, past and future loss of earning capacity, past and future disfigurement, past and future medical expenses and all other relief, in law and in equity, to which Plaintiff may be entitled. *See* Plaintiff's Original Petition, ¶¶ 21-28.

15. Plaintiff seeks monetary relief over \$250,000.00 but not more than \$1,000,000.00. *Id.* at ¶ 31. Thus, the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional minimum for removal to this Court.

V.

THIS NOTICE IS PROCEDURALLY CORRECT

16. Based on the aforementioned facts, the State Court Action may be removed to this Court by Defendant Wal-Mart Stores Texas, LLC in accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C.

§ 1441(a) because: (i) this action is a civil action pending within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas; (ii) this action is between citizens of different states; and (iii) the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs as specifically plead in Plaintiff's Original Petition.

17. Defendant has attached to this Notice of Removal the documents required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a) as follows:

- A. Docket Sheet in the State Court Action;
- B. Index of all documents filed in the State Court Action; and
- C. Copies of all process, pleadings and orders filed in State Court.

18. Defendant also is filing with the Notice of Removal a completed Civil Cover Sheet, a Supplemental Civil Cover Sheet and a Certificate of Interested Persons.

19. Defendant retains the right to supplement the jurisdictional allegations by affidavit, declaration, or otherwise should Plaintiff challenges the allegations in a motion to remand or other filing.

20. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), written notice of the filing of this Notice of Removal will be given to all parties and to the Clerk of the 95th Judicial District Court, Dallas County Court, where this matter was pending under Cause No. DC-22-00481, in a matter styled *Moses Simpkins v. Wal-Mart Stores Texas, LLC*.

21. A jury trial has been demanded in the State Court Action by Defendant.

22. Trial has not commenced in the 95th Judicial District Court, Dallas County, Texas.

VI.

CONCLUSION

23. Because diversity jurisdiction exists over Plaintiff's claim as set forth in Plaintiff's Original Petition, Defendant desires and is entitled to remove the lawsuit filed in the 95th Judicial District Court, Dallas County, Texas to the United States District Court for the

Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant Wal-Mart Stores Texas, LLC (incorrectly named Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.), files this Notice of Removal pursuant to and in conformance with the statutory requirements, and removes this action from the 95th District Court, Dallas County, Texas.

FURTHER, Defendant prays that Plaintiff take nothing by this suit against Defendant, that Defendant be discharged, and that the Court grant such other and further relief, both general and special, at law and in equity to which Defendant may be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

COOPER & SCULLY, P.C.

By: /s/ Derek S. Davis
DEREK S. DAVIS
Texas Bar No. 00793591
Email: Derek.Davis@CooperScully.com
ZACH W. TOUPS
Texas Bar No. 24123536
Email: Zach.Toups@CooperScully.com

900 Jackson Street, Suite 100
Dallas, Texas 75202
Telephone: (214) 712-9500
Facsimile: (214) 712-9540

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
WAL-MART STORE TEXAS LLC**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served on all counsel of record named below, *via* facsimile, certified mail/return receipt request, electronic mail, and/or e-service on this 10th day of March, 2022.

Jeffrey N. Todd

Email: jeff@jefftoddllaw.com

The Todd Law Group

12929 Gulf Freeway, Suite 301

Houston, Texas 77034

Telephone: (832) 243-4953

Facsimile: (713) 583-7818

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

/s/ Derek S. Davis

DEREK S. DAVIS